

— —

Thomas J. Jennings | Tel: (716) 273 7071
Development Engineer | Fax: (716) 273 7262

ABB Process Automation
Post Office Box 22685
Rochester, New York 14692-2685 |

Internet: jennings@jennings.rochny.uspra.abb.com

Date: Thu, 21 Jul 1994 22:38:57 GMT
From: mozo.cc.purdue.edu!rain!mconner@purdue.edu
Subject: Backlog clearing at FCC?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I was really suprised today to find my new license in my mailbox!
After hearing 13 weeks +, I figured I had another month to go and
hadn't seriously started looking around for a 2m/440 rig yet (wouldn't
have mattered, too busy lately anyway).

Anyway, here's the details:

Test taken: 14 May
License printed: 15 Jul
Postmarked: 18 Jul
Received: 21 Jul (total *9* weeks, 5 days)

--
Mark D. Conner - N9XTN Opinions expressed here are
Dept. of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences not necessarily those of the
Purdue Univ., W. Lafayette IN 47907 Government, DoD, Purdue, or
mconner@rain.atms.purdue.edu the author.

--
Mark D. Conner Opinions expressed here are
Dept. of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences not necessarily those of the
Purdue Univ., W. Lafayette IN 47907 Government, DoD, Purdue, or
mconner@rain.atms.purdue.edu the author.

Date: 21 Jul 1994 16:09:58 -0600
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx10.cs.du.edu!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Can you identify this call sign? - 'NMN'
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

For more interesting air traffic, check out:

13.282 MHz USB National Aviation Weather
13.354 USB Honolulu Air Traffic Control - Long Range
13.270 Eastern U.S., New York Air Traffic Control

--

Bill Hester, Ham Radio N0LAJ, Denver CO., USA - N0LAJ@W0LJF.#NECO.CO.USA.NOAM
Please route replies to: whester@nyx.cs.du.edu or uunet!nyx!whester
Public Access Unix @ University of Denver, Denver Colorado USA
(no official affiliation with the above university)

Date: 21 Jul 1994 21:10:33 GMT
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!cs.utexas.edu!convex!news.duke.edu!
godot.cc.duq.edu!newsfeed.pitt.edu!dsinc!netnews.upenn.edu!news.drexel.edu!
dunx1.ocs.drexel.edu!DUVM.OCS.@ihnp4.ucsd.edu
Subject: Clubs in Phila. area?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

morris@ucunix.san.uc.edu (Ted Morris) writes:
>Distribution: usa
>Message-ID: <30guef\$19m@ucunix.san.uc.edu>
>It appears I'm moving to Philadelphia for a year for graduate
>coursework. Can someone give me some contact people for local clubs and
>traffic nets (HF SSB or VHF/UHF FM)? Names and phone numbers would be
>great but calls alone are okay.
>Anyone know of -cheap- housing in the Drexel/Penn area for a 42-year-old
>PhD student? (Okay, quit laughing...)
>Theodore Allan (Ted) Morris, University of Cincinnati Medical Center,
>513-558-0177V, -2682F, MORRIS@UCUNIX.SAN.UC.EDU, MORRISTA@UC.EDU, WB8VNV
> Previous politically-incorrect tag-line removed.

Okay...

If your near The Franklin Institute stop by every first no-holiday
wendsday for...

PhilMont MRC club meeting!

Lets see.

Nets: Sunday morning 2 m net @ 9:00 on 147.030+
Sunday 10 on 10 net.
Sunday 75m net (Ask for the times on the repeater)

Club PBBS: 144.990 @ W3TKQ-5 (Number may be wrong.)
Club Station: Franklin institute. ph# = 448-1139
Costs: \$8 registartion fee, \$20 yearly.
Offsite Recivers for repeater: 103.5hz Center City
114.8hz Landsdale
Another one in South Hampton (forget PL)

Have fun! 73 es TNX de N3RCS

Date: Thu, 21 Jul 1994 20:23:08 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!hpscit.sc.hp.com!icon!greg@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: CW on CB
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

If CW (or MCW) is illegal on the CB bands, why do walkie-talkies come printed with The Code on the front, right under the code key button?

I thought they all had to be explicitly licensed (type accepted) by the FCC.

Greg.

Date: 21 Jul 94 13:32:18 -0500
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!math.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!news.tufts.edu!news.hnrc.tufts.edu!jerry@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Did someone say . . . bolus ?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

On Monday, I received my ticket, N1SJD. I just finish a delightful contact
with someone who received his ticket yesterday--N1SNI !
with someone who received his ticket yesterday--N1SNI !

Date: 21 Jul 1994 20:20:09 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!howland.reston.ans.net!spool.mu.edu!news.clark.edu!netnews.nwnet.net!news.u.washington.edu!cummings@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Did someone say . . . bolus ?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1994Jul21.133218.2676@hnrc.tufts.edu>,
Jerry Dallal <jerry@hnrc.tufts.edu> wrote:
>On Monday, I received my ticket, N1SJD. I just finish a delightful contact
>with someone who received his ticket yesterday--N1SNI !

My father and took our novice tests at the same time. We were among the first to get licenses under the current callsign allocation system (1978, I think). The weird thing was, mine came a week before his (KA7AIJ), and his was KA7AHN. I still don't get that.

I remember when we told our Elmer we got our tickets, he told us we must've made a mistake, as there was no such thing as a KA7 call. He thought that was a DX prefix!

--
Mike Cummings NX7E cummings@u.washington.edu
"Like jewels in a crown, the precious stones glittered in the Queen's round metal hat." - Jack Handey

Date: 21 Jul 1994 20:56:28 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!jobone!lynx.unm.edu!nntp.sunbelt.net!
DDEPEW%CHM.TEC.SC.US@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Does CW as a pre-req REALLY Work?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <svJ8kiubGc7C066yn@access.digex.net>, domonkos@access.digex.net (Andy Domonkos) writes:

>In article <77370012434n12@131.168.114.12>, Earl=Morse%EMC=SrvC wrote:
>>
>> So since it appears that most of the lids are the SSB ops who learned CW
>> but never used it, then having them retake a cw test to renew their license would
>> force the lids to have to maintain a knowledge of the code, thus making them
>> non-lids? :-)
>>
>> Earl Morse
>> KZ8E
>> kz8e@bangate.compaq.com
>
Earl,

I think most of the lids are too lazy and too sloppy to prepare for and/or take a CW test for license renewal...these are the slobs who run people off frequencies, cuss on the air, and generally sound like a

bunch of CB'ers on steroids. The really GOOD part about a CW retest is that it would get these lids off the air!

CW forever!

73

Dorr Depew
N4QIX
>

Date: Thu, 21 Jul 1994 19:07:00 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!nic-nac.CSU.net!charnel.ecst.csuchico.edu!csusac!
csus.edu!netcom.com!netcom12!faunt@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Elmers, please read (Re: CW)
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I thought the signal was QLF, as in "you seem to be keying with your left foot".

If you learn code by computer program, cassette tape, or Morse machine, there's little need to try sending CW. There was a keyer in the January 94 QST (I think), that would try to read your keying, and report on an LCD screen what it thought you sent, and at what speed. Seems like a good hack.
Still working on getting to 13WPM,
73, doug

Date: 22 Jul 94 14:39:31 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: HELP
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Does anybody out there have a schematic for a Radio Shack

PRO 2006 Scanner????

Copying and postage gladdly paid.

tnx Clark Fishman WA2UNN
PO BOX 150
Andover, NJ 07821

cfishman@pica.army.mil

Date: Thu, 21 Jul 1994 23:38:00 GMT
From: news.crd.ge.com!turbn4.sch.ge.com!vannostrand@uunet.uu.net
Subject: License in 7 Weeks!
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Took Test: June 4, 1994
License Received: July 27, 1994

Scott Van Nostrand
N2ZYI

Date: Thu, 21 Jul 1994 21:28:26 GMT
From: world!barnaby@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Nikola Tesla - the true father of Radio
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

jholly@cup.hp.com (Jim Hollenback) writes:

>BeBoB (bobb67@delphi.com) wrote:
>:
> [many great feats deleted.]
>:
> The first Solar Cell, the first radio controlled robots, the first
> X-Rays,
> ^^^^^ - no I don't think so. Try Dr. Roentgen. I believe he got a Nobel
> for his work.

>Jim, WA6SDM
>jholly@cup.hp.com

Based on my reading Jim, Tesla beat Roentgen, Roentgen got the credit
--- a common thread in Tesla's life.

~r sig
.

Date: Thu, 21 Jul 1994 21:51:59 GMT
From: ncrgw2.ncr.com!ncrhub2!whq2-top!news@uunet.uu.net
Subject: No code tech./CB/not shedding a tear
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

>In article <30j76uINN1su@dur-news.ctrn.com> Frederick G. Slama writes:

>
> This would be addressed to those critical of the tech. no-code
> class and those interested in this thread.
>
> It has been my experience that the CB band is a repository
> for unregulated communication of a indeterminate nature. Listening
> to it reminds me of a nursery school with no supervision. The
> profane language and lack of courtesy is sad. Please bear in mind
> that I realize that CB traffic is regional and may differ, so I
> suppose I can not make any generalizations.
>
> However, because of the tech. no code exam, I have been able to use
> 2 meter. The "type" of people (ie HAM operators) on HAM bands,
> exercise a great degree of courtesy and respect (There are
> exceptions to every rule).
> Because of the technical skill and interest, I suspect that most
> of the troublemakers of CB will be content with turning a dial
> to a simple channel. I am not convinced that HAM radio will ever
> become as chaotic and "unappealing" as CB.
>
> My point is that because of the no-code tech license, I have been
> afforded the opportunity to get a "taste" of this hobby. I like the
> taste, and I assure you that I will continue to upgrade my license.
> I wouldn't be so skeptical of the no-code class.
>
>-Fred (N1RVG)>

Amen.

Greg Smith
AT&T Global Information Solutions

Date: 21 Jul 1994 14:55:54 -0400
From: elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!convex!news.duke.edu!eff!
usenet.ins.cwru.edu!ns.mcs.kent.edu!kira.cc.uakron.edu!malgudi.oar.net!
news.pipeline.com!not-for-mail@ames.arpa
Subject: RADIO BLOOD - EMPLOYMENT
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

QRO Telecom Provider, NY and former Soviet Union searching for
in-the-blood radio man, primarily for specification of
customized radio systems. Position would be NY with frequent
travel to former SU. (Reciprocal license, Icom-781, included!)

Requirements:

- * deep background and practical on VHF/UHF, repeaters, antennas
- * hands-on knowledge of equipment, alternatives and vendors

- * HF antennas a plus
- * microwave a plus
- * cellular experience a plus
- * PC skills including diagramming and presenting to staff and customers
- * good health, passport, tolerance of QRM

Company operates numerous satellite gateways and remote sites;
C/Ku experience a plus but not necessary.

Energy, initiative and ability to assimilate, organize and
communicate to multi-national staff and clients essential.
Radio Blood --- DC to light --- is essential.

Please pass the word. It's a good gig.

N2KS

Date: 22 Jul 94 16:18:00 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: ts690 expanded rf?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Looking back at old radio mod files I found one that described an
expanded rf mod for the Kenwood ts690. It said on the digital board to
remove d27. On inspection of the digital board in my ts690 the only
diode was d21. There were empty holes marked d20 through d25 with only
d21 installed. Removal of d21 did not expand the rf on the radio.
Does anyone have information on expanded rf for this radio different
than removal of d27?
73 and tnx

Date: Thu, 21 Jul 1994 18:32:26 +0000
From: pipex!demon!g4udt.demon.co.uk!Yves@uunet.uu.net
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <408@doghouse.win.net>, <30bdkn\$p2n@kaiwan.kaiwan.com>,
<freyderCt71vF.7n4@netcom.com>
Reply-To : Yves@g4udt.demon.co.uk

Subject : Re: FCC Delays now at 17 weeks! PLEASE READ!!!

In article <freyderCt71vF.7n4@netcom.com>
freyder@netcom.com "Rob Freyder" writes:

> Yup. Just got mine in the mail. Dated 7/13/94 rec'd in mail on 7/18/94
>
> Passed Tech no code Test on April 27th.

You're lucky. I administered a session in early March in the UK and the candidates are still waiting...

Yves Remedios
AC4WT - Yes, it's me Bart!

Date: Thu, 21 Jul 1994 20:05:44 GMT
From: news.Hawaii.Edu!kahuna!jeffrey@ames.arpa
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <9407201503.AA06088@metro.mccneb.edu.mccneb.edu>,
<30kf8t\$mhp@search01.news.aol.com>, <1994Jul21.121809.4048@lmpsbbs.comm.mot.com>
Subject : Re: CW on CB

In article <1994Jul21.121809.4048@lmpsbbs.comm.mot.com>
migaldi_scott@macmail1.csg.mot.com (Scott F. Migaldi) writes:
>Just a point, those low power wlakie talkies that have the code osc.
>transmit on CB chan 14. They however do not transmit cw. They transmit
>a tone by AMing it to the carrier. If the FCC is allowing that why not
>teach CW by keying the mike and playing a code practice osc. into it?

Since their input (or output?) is only 100 mW they are covered by
Part 15 which allows for A2 emission (modulated CW).

Jeff NH6IL
President of Pi - Organization for sexual majorities. ---
| |

Date: Thu, 21 Jul 1994 20:25:27 GMT
From: news.Hawaii.Edu!kahuna!jeffrey@ames.arpa
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1994Jul21.114237.1@woods.uml.edu>, <CtAtw8.4zu@nntpa.cb.att.com>,
<1994Jul21.141128.1@woods.uml.edu>
Subject : Re: QFS vs. QLF (Was: Elmers, please read)

In article <1994Jul21.141128.1@woods.uml.edu> martinja@woods.uml.edu writes:
>

>Anyway, I imagine there is a ship-to-shore signal for QFS, or was one.

Yes, there is one. It is a slowly sent comma: --- --- . . --- ---

This was (informally) mentioned to us in Coast Guard Radioman School.
And yes, it was used a great deal on 500 kc (international CW calling
and distress freq). From the hundreds of ships I would hear month after
month it became easy to pick out a particular ship's op just by
listening to his fist even before he'd send his ship's callsign.
The exchange on 500kc would go something like this:

NMO NMO NMO NMO (he's calling me repeatedly without giving his call)
WNSL DE NMO GE OM UP 440/425 K (I knew his call just from his fist)
R UP
EE
EE

then he'd pass his traffic on 425 kc and I'd QSL on 440 kc. Note the
use of the dit dit - and you folks thought *you* invented it!

Jeff NH6IL

President of Pi - Organ nization for sexual majorities. ---
| |
^ oops - that's a typo (honest!)

Date: Thu, 21 Jul 1994 07:35:58 GMT

From: europa.eng.gtefsd.com!uhog.mit.edu!news.media.mit.edu!steve@uunet.uu.net
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <Ct8n5H.186@irony.com>, <94201.17385232DKUZ3@CMUVM.CSV.CMICH.EDU>,
<30kne0\$7j7@news.tv.tek.com>a
Subject : Re: Is there an ATV newsgroup?

Please also count me in for an ATV newsgroup or mailing list.

On another note (no pun intended) with respect to CW on CB, if it is
not allowed, why do so many toy walkie talkies have morse code keys.
Just about any small CB has some kind of CW capability built right in.
Some even have the morse alphabet on a metal plaque glued to the front
of the radio.

Date: Fri, 22 Jul 1994 01:19:45 GMT
From: world!drt@uunet.uu.net
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <940720095211_4@ccm.hf.intel.com>, <30kdlg\$r36@news.u.washington.edu>,
<pwalker.7.0006814A@mbi.moody.edu>
Subject : Re: Elmers, please read (Re: CW)

Paul D. Walker II (pwalker@mbi.moody.edu) wrote:
: In article <30kdlg\$r36@news.u.washington.edu> cummings@u.washington.edu (Mike
Cummings) writes:

: Why do CW operators send "BT" instead of "." ?

I have no idea. Short and snappy, maybe?

: What is the proper in between transmission protocol?

: ie:

: I send: blah ... blah ... blah ... HOW COPY? xx#xx de N9WHG

: and then the other station will pick it up with:

: N9WHG de xx#xx blah ... blah ... blah

: Should there be an "AR" after my "xx#xx de N9WHG" ?

--
Send "K" instead ("KN" if you don't want anyone breaking in). If
you're conversationally skipping the callsigns, you can send "BK" (for
"Break") which has a kind of "wake up, it's your turn" flavor to it.

--
AR is for calling people you're not in contact with, and for "end of
message" type things. Why, I don't know, since it's supposed to mean
"over," which is supposed to mean "my transmission is finished, and
you're supposed to reply," which is exactly what you want to say
there. But "K(N)" is preferred. (P.S. "HW?" will often do for "HOW
COPY?" *if* the other op is experienced enough.)

--
Using AR in the situation above is a Very Small Deal, not a big one.

-drt

David R. Tucker KG2S 8P9CL drt@world.std.com

Date: Thu, 21 Jul 1994 22:23:22 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!ttinews!avatar!sorgatz@network.ucsd.edu

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <9407201503.AA06088@metro.mccneb.edu.mccneb.edu>,
<30kf8t\$mhp@search01.news.aol.com>, <1994Jul21.121809.4048@lmpsbbs.comm.mot.com>
Subject : Re: CW on CB

In article <1994Jul21.121809.4048@lmpsbbs.comm.mot.com>
migaldi_scott@macmail1.csg.mot.com (Scott F. Migaldi) writes:
>Just a point, those low power wlakie talkies that have the code osc.
>transmit on CB chan 14. They however do not transmit cw. They transmit
>a tone by AMing it to the carrier. If the FCC is allowing that why not
>teach CW by keying the mike and playing a code practice osc. into it?
>
>--
>Scott F. Migaldi e-mail:migaldi_scott@macmail1.csg.mot.com
>The opinions expressed are my own and my cat's. They are not my
>employer's.

The difference is that while cw is fine transmitted by devices that fall
under Part 15's 100mW rule, the typical CB rig is a product of Part 95 -
in other words, cw is specifically forbidden under Part 95, but allowed
for Part 15 devices which *just happen* to be colocated frequency-wise.

73!

-Avatar-> (aka: Erik K. Sorgatz) KB6LUY +-----+
TTI(es@soldev.tti.com)or: sorgatz@avatar.tti.com *Government produces NOTHING!*
3100 Ocean Park Blvd. Santa Monica, CA 90405 +-----+
(OPINIONS EXPRESSED DO NOT REFLECT THE VIEWS OF CITICORP OR ITS MANAGEMENT!)

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #826
